FORGESOLAR GLARE ANALYSIS

Project: Midvagur

Sólpanelir á landstøðina í Miðvági hjá Hiddenfjord.

Site configuration: Landstod_Midvagi-temp-1-temp-3

Client: Hiddenfjord

Created 29 Jun, 2022
Updated 29 Jun, 2022
Time-step 1 minute
Timezone offset UTC0
Site ID 71555.12559
Category 100 to 500 kW
(1,000 kW / 32,400 m^2 limit)
DNI peaks at 1,000.0 W/m^2
Ocular transmission coefficient 0.5
Pupil diameter 0.002 m
Eye focal length 0.017 m
Sun subtended angle 9.3 mrad
Methodology V2



Summary of Results Glare with potential for temporary after-image predicted

PV Array	Tilt	Orient	Annual Gr	een Glare	Annual Ye	llow Glare	Energy
	0	0	min	hr	min	hr	kWh
Eystursida	5.0	100.0	11,892	198.2	6,006	100.1	133,200.0
Vestursida	5.0	280.0	14,688	244.8	4,030	67.2	128,300.0

Total annual glare received by each receptor; may include duplicate times of glare from multiple reflective surfaces.

Receptor	Annual Green Glare		Annual Yellow Glare	
	min	hr	min	hr
Jatnavegur	12,780	213.0	3,382	56.4
OP 1	8,131	135.5	7	0.1
OP 2	1,394	23.2	6,647	110.8
OP 3	4,275	71.2	0	0.0



Component Data

PV Arrays

Name: Eystursida

Axis tracking: Fixed (no rotation)

Tilt: 5.0°

Orientation: 100.0° Rated power: 98.0 kW

Panel material: Smooth glass with AR coating

Reflectivity: Vary with sun Slope error: correlate with material



Vertex	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	Ground elevation (m)	Height above ground (m)	Total elevation (m)
1	62.045975	-7.169123	2.00	7.00	9.00
2	62.046210	-7.168968	2.00	7.00	9.00
3	62.046244	-7.169174	2.00	8.00	10.00
4	62.045996	-7.169333	2.00	8.00	10.00

Name: Vestursida

Axis tracking: Fixed (no rotation)

Tilt: 5.0°

Orientation: 280.0° Rated power: 98.0 kW

Panel material: Smooth glass with AR coating

Reflectivity: Vary with sun Slope error: correlate with material



Vertex	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	Ground elevation (m)	Height above ground (m)	Total elevation (m)
1	62.046028	-7.169561	2.00	7.00	9.00
2	62.046284	-7.169400	2.00	7.00	9.00
3	62.046254	-7.169217	2.00	8.00	10.00
4	62.046003	-7.169378	2.00	8.00	10.00



Route Receptors

Name: Jatnavegur
Path type: Two-way

Observer view angle: 50.0°



Vertex	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	Ground elevation (m)	Height above ground (m)	Total elevation (m)
1	62.047051	-7.172038	26.00	2.00	28.00
2	62.046999	-7.170987	26.00	2.00	28.00
3	62.046991	-7.169817	27.00	2.00	29.00
4	62.047034	-7.168658	28.00	2.00	30.00
5	62.047056	-7.168208	29.00	2.00	31.00
6	62.047090	-7.167196	30.00	2.00	32.00

Discrete Observation Point Receptors

Name	ID	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	Elevation (m)	Height (m)
OP 1	1	62.047146	-7.170487	31.00	3.00
OP 2	2	62.047116	-7.169672	31.00	3.00
OP 3	3	62.047497	-7.168118	38.00	3.00



Glare Analysis Results

Summary of Results Glare with potential for temporary after-image predicted

PV Array	Tilt	Orient	Annual Gr	een Glare	Annual Ye	llow Glare	Energy
	0	0	min	hr	min	hr	kWh
Eystursida	5.0	100.0	11,892	198.2	6,006	100.1	133,200.0
Vestursida	5.0	280.0	14,688	244.8	4,030	67.2	128,300.0

Total annual glare received by each receptor; may include duplicate times of glare from multiple reflective surfaces.

Receptor	Annual Green Glare		Annual Ye	llow Glare
	min	hr	min	hr
Jatnavegur	12,780	213.0	3,382	56.4
OP 1	8,131	135.5	7	0.1
OP 2	1,394	23.2	6,647	110.8
OP 3	4,275	71.2	0	0.0

PV: Eystursida potential temporary after-image


Receptor results ordered by category of glare


Receptor	Annual Green Glare		Annual Ye	llow Glare
	min	hr	min	hr
Jatnavegur	4,518	75.3	999	16.6
OP 2	0	0.0	5,007	83.5
OP 1	5,384	89.7	0	0.0
OP 3	1,990	33.2	0	0.0





Eystursida and Jatnavegur


Receptor type: Route 999 minutes of yellow glare 4,518 minutes of green glare

















Eystursida and OP 2

Receptor type: Observation Point 5,007 minutes of yellow glare 0 minutes of green glare















Eystursida and OP 1

Receptor type: Observation Point 0 minutes of yellow glare 5,384 minutes of green glare














Eystursida and OP 3


Receptor type: Observation Point 0 minutes of yellow glare

1,990 minutes of green glare









PV: Vestursida potential temporary after-image


Receptor results ordered by category of glare


Receptor	Annual Green Glare		Annual Ye	llow Glare
	min	hr	min	hr
Jatnavegur	8,262	137.7	2,383	39.7
OP 1	2,747	45.8	7	0.1
OP 2	1,394	23.2	1,640	27.3
OP 3	2,285	38.1	0	0.0





Vestursida and Jatnavegur


Receptor type: Route 2,383 minutes of yellow glare 8,262 minutes of green glare

















Vestursida and OP 1

Receptor type: Observation Point 7 minutes of yellow glare 2,747 minutes of green glare














Vestursida and OP 2


Receptor type: Observation Point

- 1,640 minutes of yellow glare
- 1,394 minutes of green glare















Vestursida and OP 3

Receptor type: Observation Point 0 minutes of yellow glare 2,285 minutes of green glare











Assumptions

"Green" glare is glare with low potential to cause an after-image (flash blindness) when observed prior to a typical blink response time.

"Yellow" glare is glare with potential to cause an after-image (flash blindness) when observed prior to a typical blink response time.

Times associated with glare are denoted in Standard time. For Daylight Savings, add one hour.

The algorithm does not rigorously represent the detailed geometry of a system; detailed features such as gaps between modules, variable height of the PV array, and support structures may impact actual glare results. However, we have validated our models against several systems, including a PV array causing glare to the air-traffic control tower at Manchester-Boston Regional Airport and several sites in Albuquerque, and the tool accurately predicted the occurrence and intensity of glare at different times and days of the year.

Several V1 calculations utilize the PV array centroid, rather than the actual glare spot location, due to algorithm limitations. This may affect results for large PV footprints. Additional analyses of array sub-sections can provide additional information on expected glare. This primarily affects V1 analyses of path receptors.

Random number computations are utilized by various steps of the annual hazard analysis algorithm. Predicted minutes of glare can vary between runs as a result. This limitation primarily affects analyses of Observation Point receptors, including ATCTs. Note that the SGHAT/ ForgeSolar methodology has always relied on an analytical, qualitative approach to accurately determine the overall hazard (i.e. green vs. yellow) of expected glare on an annual basis.

The analysis does not consider obstacles (either man-made or natural) between the observation points and the prescribed solar installation that may obstruct observed glare, such as trees, hills, buildings, etc.

The subtended source angle (glare spot size) is constrained by the PV array footprint size. Partitioning large arrays into smaller sections will reduce the maximum potential subtended angle, potentially impacting results if actual glare spots are larger than the sub-array size. Additional analyses of the combined area of adjacent sub-arrays can provide more information on potential glare hazards. (See previous point on related limitations.)

The variable direct normal irradiance (DNI) feature (if selected) scales the user-prescribed peak DNI using a typical clear-day irradiance profile. This profile has a lower DNI in the mornings and evenings and a maximum at solar noon. The scaling uses a clear-day irradiance profile based on a normalized time relative to sunrise, solar noon, and sunset, which are prescribed by a sun-position algorithm and the latitude and longitude obtained from Google maps. The actual DNI on any given day can be affected by cloud cover, atmospheric attenuation, and other environmental factors.

The ocular hazard predicted by the tool depends on a number of environmental, optical, and human factors, which can be uncertain. We provide input fields and typical ranges of values for these factors so that the user can vary these parameters to see if they have an impact on the results. The speed of SGHAT allows expedited sensitivity and parametric analyses.

The system output calculation is a DNI-based approximation that assumes clear, sunny skies year-round. It should not be used in place of more rigorous modeling methods.

Hazard zone boundaries shown in the Glare Hazard plot are an approximation and visual aid based on aggregated research data. Actual ocular impact outcomes encompass a continuous, not discrete, spectrum.

Glare locations displayed on receptor plots are approximate. Actual glare-spot locations may differ.

Refer to the Help page at www.forgesolar.com/help/ for assumptions and limitations not listed here.

Default glare analysis parameters and observer eye characteristics (for reference only):

Analysis time interval: 1 minuteOcular transmission coefficient: 0.5Pupil diameter: 0.002 meters

Eye focal length: 0.017 metersSun subtended angle: 9.3 milliradians

2016 © Sims Industries d/b/a ForgeSolar, All Rights Reserved.

